

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,641	12/30/2003	Lyle Berman		6896
7590 09/27/2006			EXAMINER	
Gregory M. Friedlander			LAYNO, BENJAMIN	
Gregory M. Frie	edlander & Associates, P.G	C.		
11 South Florida Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Mobile, AL 36606-1934			3711	
		•	DATE MAILED: 00/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/748,641	BERMAN, LYLE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Benjamin H. Layno	3711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	<u>uly 2006</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage		
Attachmont(c)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	гасык др ысаноп		

Application/Control Number: 10/748,641 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chilton et al..

The patent application publication to Chilton et al. discloses a method of wagering on a casino slot machine card game 10a. To play Chilton's game the slot machine accepts a wager from a player, see paragraph [0037]. Chilton recites "that the qualifying outcome or condition could be associated for example with one or more of a plurality of selectable selections in a player selection type game or one or more offers in a player offer acceptance type game" paragraph [0056]. Thus, the player selects a quantity of play based on criteria of a number of hands (poker hands). A payout is determined based on the statistical probability of winning a total amount of credits in a predetermined amount of time, see paragraph [0064]. The player accumulating the

most credits in the predetermined amount of time wins a payout (grand prize), see paragraph [0064]. The Examiner takes the position that it is inherent in Chilton's game to permit play to continue even if a hand is lost because the object of Chilton's game is not to receive consecutive winning hands, but to accumulate the most credits over a predetermined amount of time. Thus, receiving losing hands during the predetermined amount of time s inevitable.

In regard to claims 3, 5, 7, Chilton's slot machine card game is played on a network, paragraph [0044].

Smart cards may be used on Chilton's slot machine card game in order to track a player's accumulated total credits, paragraphs [0037], [0038].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chilton et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Moore 463'.

The patent to Moore 463' teaches that it is known in the slot machine art to play a dice game on a slot machine, Fig. 3. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to use dice symbols on Chilton's slot machine reels. This modification would have attracted dice game players to Chilton's slot machine.

Art Unit: 3711

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chilton et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Celona.

The patent to Celona teaches that in the slot machine art that if one player win a bonus or jackpot payout, that bonus or jackpot payout may be divided among other player. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to modify Chilton's slot machine such that if one player wins a bonus payout, that payout would have been divided among the other players. This modification would have made Chilton's game more exciting to play.

7. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chilton et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ornstein 885'.

The patent to Ornstein 885' teaches that it is known to play a card game on a slot machine, Fig. 2 and place a wager on the number of consecutive wins a player receives while playing the slot machine, col. 4, line 33 to col. 21. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious provide Chilton's slot machine a payout for consecutive wins. During game play on Chilton's slot machine, if a player placed a wager for four consecutive winning hands, and if a player received less than four consecutive winning hands (e.g. one win, two consecutive wins, or three consecutive wins), the player would have received a payout based on the statistical probability of winning less than the total number (four) of consecutive winning hands.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,641 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

8. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chilton et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Acres et al.

The patent to Acres teaches that it is known in the networked slot machine art to provide a player tracking card 120 having a code for tracking a player's frequency of playing slot machines. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to provide a player tracking cards having codes to Chilton's slot machine. This modification would have information to casino on how often a player is playing the slot machines for business purposes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571)272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Benjamin H. La∕vno Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3711

bhl